

Partial Support for Access Types in SPARK

Access Types in SPARK

- Pointers are called access types in Ada
- Dereferences are done using .all

```
type Int_Access is access Integer;
X : Int_Access := new Integer'(10);
pragma Assert (X.all = 10);
```

• We only support pointing to the heap in SPARK



Access Types in SPARK – Ownership Rules

- Enforce single writer / multiple readers principle
- Rules enforced in the compiler frontend part of GNATprove

```
X : Int Access := new Integer' (10);
```

```
Y : Int_Access := X;
```



• The rules still allow interesting programs

procedure Swap (X, Y : in out Int Access) is

T : Int_Access := X; -- ownership of X transferred to T begin

- X := Y; -- ownership of Y transferred to X
- Y := T; -- ownership of T transferred to Y

Access Types in SPARK – Translation to Why

- Access types are translated as regular types (copied on assignment)
- Normal VC-gen is only valid because of ownership rules



• Allows to verify simple programs using pointers

```
procedure Swap (X, Y : in out Int_Access) with
    Pre => X /= null and Y /= null,
    Post => X.all = Y.all'Old and Y.all = X.all'Old;
```

Future Enhancements

- Already in the SPARK language manual
- But not supported by the toolset yet
- Some are challenges
- 1. Check absence of memory leaks
- 2. Support recursive data structures
- 3. Support statically known aliases (aka. Local borrowers)
- 4. Quantification over recursive data structures

1. Check Absence of Memory Leaks

- Can take advantage of single ownership to check for memory leaks
- Access objects need to be moved or freed before being overritten / going out of scope

```
declare
```

X : Int_Access := new Integer'(10); Y : Int_Access := new Integer'(10); begin Y := X; -- Memory leak, Y's content is lost end; -- Memory leak, X's content is lost

1. Check Absence of Memory Leaks

Need to know when something is *erased* (goes out of scope/ is overridden)

```
X : My_Rec := (F => new Integer' (10));
```

declare

```
Y : constant My Rec := X; -- Observe X.F
```

begin

. . .

end; -- Y.F does not go out of scope

- Checks can be done in flow analysis / frontend when easy
- Have to use proof on more complex cases

1. Check Absence of Memory Leaks

Check for memory leaks in proof:

• Set accesses to null when moved in Why

• Check for nullity when values are erased

X.F3 := Y.F3; -- check that X.F3.G is null

• Nullification not visible from regular semantics

2. Support Recursive Data Structures

- Ada record types cannot be directly recursive
- Access types allow to construct recursive types

```
type List_Cell;
type List is access List_Cell;
type List_Cell is record
  Next : List;
end record;
```

• Could be traversed using recursive calls

```
function Length (L : List) return Natural is
  (if L = null then 0 else 1 + Length (L.Next));
```

2. Support Recursive Data Structures

• Can be supported in Why using an abstract type

```
type closed_list
type list_cell = { next : closed_list }
type list =
    { is_null : bool; value : list_cell; address : int }
```

• Along with conversion functions

```
function open (l : closed_list) : list
function close (l : list) : closed_list
axiom open_close:
    forall l : list. open (close l) = l
```

• SPARK RM allows local borrowers of (recursive) data structures

```
X : List := new List_Cell' (Next => ...);
declare
Y : access List_Cell := X; -- value of X is not moved
begin
...
-- modify Y
end; -- ownership goes back to X
```

• Aliases are known statically

Z : access T := (if Use X then X else Y);

• Borrowers can reference arbitrarily deep parts of the object

• Can also call (traversal) functions to initialize a borrower

function Find (X : List; V : Integer) return access List_Cell;
Y : access List Cell := Find (X, 0);

• Local borrowers can be used to modify the underlying object

```
declare
  Y : access List_Cell := Find (X, 0);
begin
  Y.Val := 1;
end;
-- X has been modified
```

- Idea: translate local borrowers as a path in the underlying object
- Modify the underlying object instead of modifying the borrower

First attempt: Use sequences of directions for paths

- X : Tree := ...;
- Y : access Tree_Cell := X.all.Left.all.Left.all.Right;
- -- Y is statically known to refer to a part of X
- -- Y is translated as the path (Left, Left, Right)

But proof will be difficult:

- Inductive reasoning over paths
- Quantification over complex types

Second attempt: Use the address of the local borrower

- Reachability is axiomatized
- Get queries the structure at an arbitrary position
- Set modifies the structure at arbitrary position

```
let all_to_zero (l : ref list) =
  (if !l.address = 0 then return);
  let w = ref !l.address in
  while !w <> 0 do
    invariant { !w = 0 \/ valid !l !w }
    let new_val = { (get !l !w) with value = { (get !l !w).value with content = 0 } } in
    l := set !l !w new_val;
    w := (open (get !l !w).value.next).address
    done
```

4. Quantification over Recursive Data Structures

• Quantification in Ada is bounded

pragma Assert (for all Y : ???. (if Reach (X, Y) then ...));

• Can be defined through iteration primitives

4. Quantification over Recursive Data Structures

 Define a generic package providing this aspect for any simply recursive data type

```
generic
```

```
type Cell is private;
type Base_Cont is access Cell;
type Succ is (<>);
with function Next (L : access Cell; S: Succ) return access Cell;
package Iterator with SPARK_Mode is
type Container is new Base_Cont with
        Iterable => ...; -- allow quantification
type Address is private; -- direct access to Why3 representation
function Reach (L : Container; A1, A2 : Address) return Boolean;
```

4. Quantification over Recursive Data Structures

• 4 examples on lists and 3 on binary trees manually translated to Why

procedure All_To_Zero (L : in out List) with
 Post => (for all Y of L => Y.Content = 0);

• Proof requires a complex axiomatization of reachability which should be generated depending on the data structure

Lists	Binary Trees
150 lines	230 lines
26 axioms	39 axioms
15 are redundant	23 are redundant